

DAVID C. DINIELLI (CA Bar No. 177904)
Tech Accountability & Competition Project,
a division of the MFIA Clinic
Yale Law School
127 Wall Street
New Haven, CT 06511
David.Dinielli@yale.edu
*Counsel for Economists Supporting Dr. Rysman's
Variety Model as Amici Curiae*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

**IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE
ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al.,
Case No. 3:21-cv-05227-JD

In re Google Play Store Antitrust Litig.,
Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD

*In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust
Litig.*, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE
BRIEF OF ECONOMISTS AS *AMICI
CURIAE* IN SUPPORT THE
PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
EXCLUDE MERITS OPINIONS OF
DR. MARC RYSMAN**

Judge: Hon. James Donato

Before the Court is a motion for leave to appear and file the brief of Economists Supporting Dr. Rysman's "Variety" Model as [Proposed] Amici Curie. Having considered the motion for leave and the accompanying proposed brief, the Court hereby GRANTS the motion, finding that the motion and proposed brief satisfy the relevant provisions of Fed. R. App. P 29, including because amici economists

can offer perspectives that could be helpful to the Court that the parties and their experts cannot. The Court further directs that the proposed brief of economists supporting Dr. Rysman's variety model be deemed filed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____

The Honorable James Donato
United States District Court